Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # 5855 Case file # 95-284520.

Material Examiner:

Malone (RO).

Case resulted in trial, transcript available. Remarks:

_	ew conducted	l by: Steve Robertso			· · ·	
Area(s) of Expert	ise: Hair a	nd Fiber	•		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Review commenc	ed at: 9:15	AM (Time),	03/12/01	(Date)	ŀ.	
File#: 95-284	1520					
Laboratory #(s):	_80816032				٠.	
	80913049					
					•	
	•	· Examiner(s	s) & Symbols		•	•
. ,		•	-, -,,	•		
	Revie		•	•	Reviewed .	Not Reviewe
RQ	X(B	D
WP, VJ, TH NC			: '			- 8
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				•	
Trial testimony tr	anscript(s) of	•	s Reviewed			•.
		•		0-458		•
	ny Date(s):	RQ		0-458		
Testimor aboratory-Report(ny Date(s):	RQ	Pages: 45	0-458 Date:	June 5, 1989	
Testimor aboratory-Report(Laborator	ny Date(s):	RQ Unknown	Pages: 45		June 5, 1989 June 22, 1989)
Testimor aboratory-Report(Laborator Laborator	ny Date(s): (s):	RQ Unknown 80816032/80913049	Pages: 45	Date:	~~)
Testimor aboratory-Report(Laborator Laborator Laborator	y Number: y Number: y Number:	RQ Unknown 80816032/80913049	Pages: 45	Date:	~~	<u>)</u>
Testimor aboratory-Report(Laborator Laborator Laborator Laborator	y Number: y Number: y Number:	RQ Unknown 80816032/80913049 80816032/80913049	Pages: 45	Date:	~~)
Testimor aboratory-Report(Laborator Laborator Laborator Laborator	y Number: y Number: y Number: y Number:	RQ Unknown 80816032/80913049 80816032/80913049 RQ	Pages: 45	Date:	~~)

If yes, please id	lentify and/or describe	the material:	Submitting agend	cy letters (u		36 and 9-	12-88))
			<u> </u>				
<u>-</u>		•		· .	. :		·
			•				•
		Results	of Review				
							r 10
File #: 95-21	84520	Item or Spe	cimen # Reviewe	d: Q31, C	256, K.5, I	K7, K9, K	L10.
	- 1 ·			4			<u>.</u>
	Review o	· of Laboratory]	Report(s) and I	Bench Not	es:	•	
	,		ts are required b			7	
	additional pages	for any "No" or	"Unable to Dete	ermine" Res	ponses		ı
•		-					
) Did the	e examiner perform the	e appropriate tests	in a scientificall	y acceptable	manner,	based on	the
	وامسم امسم مامسمهمس	tic techniques av	ailable at the tim	e of the ori	ginai exa	minarion	(s): ;
memod	s, protocols, and analy	1 0	Yes X□No	□ Unable	to Deten	шис	
	• •		Yes X□No				
2) Are the	examination results se	et forth in the labo	Yes X D No oratory report(s) s	supported an	d adequa	tely docu	mented in
2) Are the	• •	et forth in the labo	Yes X D No oratory report(s) s	supported an	d adequa	tely docu	mented in
2) Are the	examination results se	et forth in the labo	Yes X□No oratory report(s) s Yes X□No	supported an	d adequa	tely docu	mented in
2) Are the	examination results sech notes?	et forth in the labo	Yes X No oratory report(s) s Yes X No of Testimony:	supported an	d adequa to Deterr	tely docu	mented in
2) Are the	examination results seen notes?	Review o	Yes X No pratory report(s) s Yes X No f Testimony:	supported an Unable	d adequa	tely docu	mented in
2) Are the	examination results sech notes?	Review o	Yes X No pratory report(s) s Yes X No f Testimony:	supported an Unable	d adequa	tely docu	mented in
2) Are the the bene	examination results sech notes? Note: Nuadditional pages	Review o	Yes X No pratory report(s) s Yes X No f Testimony:	supported an Unable	d adequa	tely docu	mented in
2) Are the the bene	examination results sech notes? Note: Nuadditional pages	Review of more any "No" or	Yes X No pratory report(s) s Yes X No of Testimony: tts are required to Detection	supported an Unable below or on ermine" Re	d adequa to Determ	tely docu	
2) Are the the benderate Transcript no 3) Testimony c	examination results seech notes? Note: Nuradditional pages t available. onsistent with the laborations.	Review of mbered comments for any "No" or or artery report(s)?	Yes X No Pratory report(s) s Yes X No of Testimony: Its are required to Det	supported an Unable below or on ermine" Re	d adequa to Determ	tely documente	to Determi
2) Are the the benderal Transcript no. 3) Testimony c	examination results seech notes? Note: Nuradditional pages t available. onsistent with the laboronsistent with the benconsistent	Review of mbered comments for any "No" or or artory report(s)?	Yes X No Pratory report(s) s Yes X No of Testimony: Its are required to Det X	supported an Unable below or on ermine" Re	d adequa to Determ	tely documente	to Determi
2) Are the the benderal Transcript no. 3) Testimony c	examination results seech notes? Note: Nuradditional pages t available. onsistent with the laborations.	Review of mbered comments for any "No" or or artory report(s)?	Yes X No Pratory report(s) s Yes X No of Testimony: Its are required to Det X	supported an Unable below or on ermine" Re	d adequa to Determine sponses	tely documente	to Determi
2) Are the the benderal Transcript no. 3) Testimony c.	examination results seech notes? Note: Nuradditional pages t available. onsistent with the laboronsistent with the benconsistent	Review of mbered comments for any "No" or or artory report(s)?	Yes X No Pratory report(s) s Yes X No of Testimony: Its are required to Det X	supported an Unable below or on ermine" Re	d adequa to Determ	tely documente	to Determi
2) Are the the benderal Transcript no. 3) Testimony c.	examination results seech notes? Note: Nuradditional pages t available. onsistent with the laboronsistent with the benconsistent	Review of mbered comments for any "No" or or artory report(s)?	Yes X No Pratory report(s) s Yes X No of Testimony: Its are required to Det X	supported an Unable below or on ermine" Re	d adequa to Determ	tely documente	to Determi
2) Are the the benderal Transcript no. 3) Testimony c.	examination results seech notes? Note: Nuradditional pages t available. onsistent with the laboronsistent with the benconsistent	Review of mbered comments for any "No" or or artory report(s)?	Yes X No Pratory report(s) s Yes X No of Testimony: Its are required to Det X	supported an Unable below or on ermine" Re	d adequa to Determ	tely documente	to Determi
2) Are the the benderal Transcript no. 3) Testimony c.	examination results seech notes? Note: Nuradditional pages t available. onsistent with the laboronsistent with the benconsistent	Review of mbered comments for any "No" or or artory report(s)?	Yes X No Pratory report(s) s Yes X No of Testimony: Its are required to Det X	supported an Unable below or on ermine" Re	d adequa to Determ	tely documente	to Determi
2) Are the the benderal Transcript no. 3) Testimony c.	examination results seech notes? Note: Nuradditional pages t available. onsistent with the laboronsistent with the benconsistent	Review of mbered comments for any "No" or or artory report(s)?	Yes X No Pratory report(s) s Yes X No of Testimony: Its are required to Det X	supported an Unable below or on ermine" Re	d adequa to Determ	tely documente	to Determi
2) Are the the benderal Transcript no. 3) Testimony c.	examination results seech notes? Note: Nuradditional pages t available. onsistent with the laboronsistent with the benconsistent	Review of mbered comments for any "No" or or artory report(s)?	Yes X No Pratory report(s) s Yes X No of Testimony: Its are required to Det X	supported an Unable below or on ermine" Re	d adequa to Determ	tely documente	to Determi

Comments
(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.

Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)	
File #: 95-284520	•
#1. With microscopic hair comparison, even with the best notes, there is no way to determi	ne the comparison
was performed correctly. Note, however, that it appears the hair association was verified by	y a second examiner.
The examiner did not perform examinations that were available to him to properly compare	e the cross-sectional
shape and polymer composition of the fibers.	
	•
#2. The term "adequately documented," is interpreted to mean that a second qualified exam	iner can review the
notes, determine what testing was done and arrive at the same conclusion. The documentat	····
performed in this case is marginal. The notes are not dated or initialed. The technician's no	
bair or fibers were recovered from Q56, Q31, K5, K7, K9, K10, but bair and fibers from the	
	•
Review completed at: 11:45 AM (Time), 03/12/2001 (Date)	
Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 bour): 2:30 hours	
I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of	e results of my review
Huy Robut	03/12/2001
(Signature)	(Date)

Page 3 of 4
Initials: SMC

Additional Comments (Set forth by question #, if applicable)

File #: 95-284520	·				-
			. <u>.</u>		
#2 (continued): to form the basis of	of an association be	tween the victi	m and the suspe	ct. The exam	iner uses
abbreviations in his notes which the	his reviewer is unat	ole to decipber.	There is no doc	cumentation, o	f how the
examiner determined the fibers an					
based upon undocumented or inco	omplete data are not	t scientifically a	ecceptable.		
-					
#4: The examiner testified that he	processed the victi	m's top, he con	ducted analysis	of the victim	s top and that
he located bair and fibers on the to	op. The notes indica	ate a technician	processed the	victim's top, 1	not the examiner.
	, , ,	•	•	•	••
#5: The examiner's testimony (p.4	453 #22, p. 454 #1,	p. 454 #7, p 45	4#14), and th	e laboratory	report, state
that the fiber recovered from the v				•	
trilobal cross-section shape, trilob			•		
concludes that the Q56 fiber is a c	arpet fiber only bed	cause he found	it to match the	Q31/K7 carpo	et sample.
			· .		
The examiner's conclusion that th	e victim probably v	was in contact v	with that carpet	(p 456 #2-11) is strong and
can be explained by alternate circu					
before, the transfer of the hair and	i fibers could have o	occurred then a	nd would have	nothing to do	with this .
homicide.					,
	-	•			
		• ,			•
				,	' ,
			-		• .
	,				
	~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · 			-	•

Page 4 of 4.

Initials: SW